

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/863,872	05/24/2001	Stefan Boneberg	1748X/49969	9481	
23911 75	90 11/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP			DUONG, THANH P		
INTELLECTUA	AL PROPERTY GROUP				
P.O. BOX 1430	0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20044-4300		1764	1764	

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/863,872	BONEBERG ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Tom P. Duong	1764

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tom P. Duong	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection. 📝					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, many	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	msideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· -	jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		rill be entered and an	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.		•				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>as stated in Final Office Action</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to d	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
 -							

The request for reconsideration filed on 10/7/05 has been carefully considered; however, the final office action is substained. (1) Applicant argues the Benz et al reference is directed to a device for generating heat energy or a heater not a gas generating device. Examiner respectfully disagrees, since Benz discloses a device for generating hydrogen (Col. 1, lines 6-9) and hydrogen gas (Col. 2, lines 50-57) herein is meant a source of heat energy. With respect to the Prated_1, Benz discloses the cold-start (Pstart_1) is greater than Prated_1 (at normal operation) of the claimed invention (Col. 4, lines 1-5 and also described in final office action).

Glenn Caldarola upervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700